

CORRECTION TO: ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF NONRADIATING SOURCES FOR THE ELASTIC WAVES IN ANISOTROPIC INHOMOGENEOUS MEDIA

PU-ZHAO KOW  AND JENN-NAN WANG 

The purpose of this note is to address typographical errors in [KW21, Lemma 4.2 and Appendix B].

In the proof of [KW21, Lemma 4.2], the function \mathbf{w} should be taken as incoming, which is equivalent to $\overline{\mathbf{w}}$ being outgoing. More precisely, the expression

“ \mathbf{w} satisfies the Kupradze radiation condition at $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ ”

should be replaced with

“ $\overline{\mathbf{w}}$ satisfies the Kupradze radiation condition at $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ ”.

We do not repeat the proof here, but recall that it relies on the following fact:

$$(1) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\partial B_R} \left(\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{w}} \right) ds(\mathbf{x}) = 0,$$

where the traction on ∂B_R is given by

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{v} &= (\mathbb{C} : \nabla \mathbf{v}) \nu = (\mathbb{C} : \nabla \mathbf{v}) \hat{\mathbf{x}} \\ &= 2\mu \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} + \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \mu \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v} \\ &= 2\mu \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v} + \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \mu \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

We also remark that the Green’s formula for radiating elastic wave, given in [CKA⁺07, equation (11)], involves the same limit as in (1), with $\overline{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})} = \Gamma(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$. This limit can be justified using the Kupradze radiation condition, see Appendix A for details.

Additionally, the function \mathbf{w} in [KW21, Appendix B] should be compactly supported. This correction does not affect the subsequent discussions. We remind readers that the compact support of \mathbf{w} is necessary to ensure

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{\partial B_R} \mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{u} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{w}} ds(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

in the proof of [KW21, Lemma B.1]. In the first equation of [KW21, (B.1)], $\Omega \setminus \Sigma$ should be replaced by $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma$.

APPENDIX A. TRACTION OPERATOR ON SPHERE AND RADIATION CONDITION

Let \mathbf{v} satisfies $(\mathcal{L}^{\lambda, \mu} + \omega^2) \mathbf{v} = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$, and write $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^{(p)} + \mathbf{v}^{(s)}$ as in [KW21, Lemma 2.1]. Assume that such \mathbf{v} satisfies the Kupradze radiation condition as defined in [KW21, Definition 2.2]. By applying the cross product rule, we obtain

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{u} = \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} - \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \quad \left(\equiv \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} - \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{u} \right)$$

for all smooth \mathbf{u} . By choosing $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}^{(p)}, \mathbf{v}^{(s)}$, we know that

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} = \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} = o(1), \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} = -\partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} = o(1).$$

Thus, the traction $\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}$ on ∂B_R , as given in (2), is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{v} &= 2\mu \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v} + \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \mu \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v} \\ &= 2\mu \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} + 2\mu \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} + \lambda \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} + \mu \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \\ &= (\lambda + 2\mu) \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} + \mu \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \quad (\text{using (3)}) \\ &\equiv (\lambda + 2\mu) \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} + \mu \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

We now assume that $\bar{\mathbf{w}} = \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} + \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(s)}$ is the function in (1). By combining the Kupradze radiation condition with (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{ik_p} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} + o(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}), \\ \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(s)} &= \frac{1}{ik_s} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}} + o(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, on ∂B_R , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{w}} \\ &= \left((\lambda + 2\mu) \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} + \mu \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{ik_p} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} + \frac{1}{ik_s} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}} \right) + o(R^{-1}) \\ &= (\lambda + 2\mu) \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} \cdot \frac{1}{ik_p} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \operatorname{div} \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} + \mu \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{1}{ik_s} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}} + o(R^{-1}) \\ &= (\lambda + 2\mu) \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(p)} \cdot \frac{1}{ik_p} \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} + \mu \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \cdot \frac{1}{ik_s} \partial_{|\mathbf{x}|} \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(s)} + o(R^{-1}) \quad (\text{using (3)}) \\ &= (\lambda + 2\mu) ik_p \mathbf{v}^{(p)} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(p)} + \mu ik_s \mathbf{v}^{(s)} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{w}}^{(s)} + o(R^{-2}) \quad (\text{Kupradze radiation condition}). \end{aligned}$$

Performing similar computations to those for $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \bar{\mathbf{w}}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\hat{\mathbf{x}}} \bar{\mathbf{w}}} = o(R^{-2}) \quad \text{on } \partial B_R,$$

which results in (1).

REFERENCES

- [CKA⁺07] A. Charalambopoulos, A. Kirsch, K. A. Anagnostopoulos, D. Gintides, and K. Kiriaki. The factorization method in inverse elastic scattering from penetrable bodies. *Inverse Problems*, 23(1):27–51, 2007. [MR2302961](#), [Zbl:1111.74024](#), [doi:10.1088/0266-5611/23/1/002](#).
- [KW21] P.-Z. Kow and J.-N. Wang. On the characterization of nonradiating sources for the elastic waves in anisotropic inhomogeneous media. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 81(4):1530–1551, 2021. [MR4295059](#), [Zbl:1473.35198](#), [doi:10.1137/20M1386293](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL CHENGCHI UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 116, TAIWAN.
Email address: pzkw@g.nccu.edu.tw

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI 106, TAIWAN.

Email address: jnwang@math.ntu.edu.tw